#### Leveraging DDS for a Medical IoT-Based Critical Care Ecosystem



11



#### PRESENTED BY Matthew Grubis OCTOBER 26 • 10:30AM PDT

Chief Engineer GE Healthcare Patient Monitoring

matthew.grubis@med.ge.com



#### GE Healthcare – Life Care Solution Wherever there is a patient







![](_page_1_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_5.jpeg)

MATERNAL INFANT CARE

![](_page_1_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Patient Monitoring as Medical IoT

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

• GE & Partner analytics

![](_page_2_Picture_3.jpeg)

Clinical flexibility

Interoperability

ee 86 It's not that patients suddenly deteriorate. It's that caregivers suddenly notice.

Patient monitoring is more than a device connected to a patient that acquires physiological information and then processes that information to generate an alarm. A Patient Monitoring system includes many (often hundreds and sometimes thousands of) devices connected to patients who are geographically dispersed across a hospital campus. Data and processed information from each of these devices are communicated across the hospital ecosystem and are delivered to a variety of data sinks.

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

Off-site "War room" EMR Mobile Viewing Diag. Algorithms Research

Patient monitoring is more than a device connected to a patient that acquires physiological information and processes that information generating alarms. A Patient Monitoring system includes many, often hundreds and sometimes thousands of devices connected to patients geographically dispersed across a hospital campus. Data and processed information from each of these devices are communicated across the hospital ecosystem and are delivered to a variety of data sinks.

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_3.jpeg)

Off-site "War room"

EMR Mobile Viewing Diag. Algorithms Research

Patient monitoring is more than a device connected to a patient that acquires physiological information and processes that information generating alarms. A Patient Monitoring system includes many, often hundreds and sometimes thousands of devices connected to patients geographically dispersed across a hospital campus. Data and processed information from each of these devices are communicated across the hospital ecosystem and are delivered to a variety of data sinks.

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

Off-site "War room" EMR Mobile Viewing Diag. Algorithms Research

Patient monitoring is more than a device connected to a patient that acquires physiological information and processes that information generating alarms. A Patient Monitoring system includes many, often hundreds and sometimes thousands of devices connected to patients geographically dispersed across a hospital campus. Data and processed information from each of these devices are communicated across the hospital ecosystem and are delivered to a variety of data sinks.

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

Viewing of Physiological Data

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

Alarming and Event Management

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

**Device and System Management** 

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

Patient Management

![](_page_8_Picture_9.jpeg)

Analytical Processing

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

Availability, Fault Tolerance, State Consistency

The <u>Grand Challenge</u> of a Patient Monitoring Ecosystem is that the state of the ecosystem is **massively distributed**, and is **always** expected to be in an *inconsistent* state, desiring a state of consistency.

![](_page_9_Picture_2.jpeg)

A patient monitoring ecosystem is an eventually consistent system that is never truly consistent.

The <u>Grand Challenge</u> of a Patient Monitoring Ecosystem is that the state of the ecosystem is **massively distributed**, and is **always** expected to be in an *inconsistent* state, desiring a state of consistency.

Why is inconsistency expected?

Consider an **average** hospital with 200 patient monitors, 10 clinical units, and 40 central stations.

It is **expected** that if a 1) Monitor becomes disconnected during transport 2) An entire Unit disconnects from the rest of the hospital 3) A building is cut off from the Edge Platform 4) The Edge Platform fails;

The state of the device, the unit, the building can be **safely** changed while *disconnected*.

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

A patient monitoring ecosystem is an eventually consistent system that is never truly consistent.

The <u>Grand Challenge</u> of a Patient Monitoring Ecosystem is that the state of the ecosystem is **massively distributed**, and is **always** expected to be in an *inconsistent* state, desiring a state of consistency.

#### Why is inconsistency expected?

Consider an **average** hospital with 200 patient monitors, 10 clinical units, and 40 central stations.

It is **expected** that if a 1) Monitor becomes disconnected during transport 2) An entire Unit disconnects from the rest of the hospital 3) A building is cut off from the Edge Platform 4) The Edge Platform fails;

The state of the device, the unit, the building can be **safely** changed while *disconnected*.

#### Safe and expected state *reconciliation*:

Allowing disconnected state changes is simple, but as the elements of the system reconnect, the patient monitoring ecosystem must **reconcile** state safely, and reflect the clinical users' *intentions* based on their practice of caregiving.

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

A patient monitoring ecosystem is an eventually consistent system that is never truly consistent.

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

The Data Distribution Service<sup>™</sup> (DDS) standard was specifically designed for real-time, mission-critical applications to manage data-centric states across decentralized systems, in a scalable and secure manner.

• DDS is not "cloud centric"

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control
- There are no data-mastership concerns, just distributed state reconciliation

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control
- There are no data-mastership concerns, just distributed state reconciliation
- Efficient communication leveraging DDS built-in "type system" (DDS-XTYPES)

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control
- There are no data-mastership concerns, just distributed state reconciliation
- Efficient communication leveraging DDS built-in "type system" (DDS-XTYPES)
- On the wire compatibility with dynamic data model dynamic changes and evolution

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control
- There are no data-mastership concerns, just distributed state reconciliation
- Efficient communication leveraging DDS built-in "type system" (DDS-XTYPES)
- On the wire compatibility with dynamic data model dynamic changes and evolution
- Content aware filtering to drive further efficiency

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control
- There are no data-mastership concerns, just distributed state reconciliation
- Efficient communication leveraging DDS built-in "type system" (DDS-XTYPES)
- On the wire compatibility with dynamic data model dynamic changes and evolution
- Content aware filtering to drive further efficiency
- Fully secure endpoint authentication and encryption built in

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control
- There are no data-mastership concerns, just distributed state reconciliation
- Efficient communication leveraging DDS built-in "type system" (DDS-XTYPES)
- On the wire compatibility with dynamic data model dynamic changes and evolution
- Content aware filtering to drive further efficiency
- Fully secure endpoint authentication and encryption built in
- Transport independent; the best transport is used for the communication link

- DDS is not "cloud centric"
- Data state is exchanged at "wire-speed" with Quality of Service control
- There are no data-mastership concerns, just distributed state reconciliation
- Efficient communication leveraging DDS built-in "type system" (DDS-XTYPES)
- On the wire compatibility with dynamic data model dynamic changes and evolution
- Content aware filtering to drive further efficiency
- Fully secure endpoint authentication and encryption built in
- Transport independent; the best transport is used for the communication link
- Software defined domains and topics

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)